

UNITED STORES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

ess: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

09/009,837 01/20/98 MILLS R. 9118-22115

ÍM22/0824

FARKAS & MANELLI, PLLC 2000 M STREET, N.W. 7TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3307 LANGEL, W

ARTUNIT FARER NUMBER

1754

DATE MAILED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademark

Office Action Summary	Application No. Applic	ant(s)
	Examiner / Ghall	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet beneath	the correspondence address—
Period for Response	/	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cifrom the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) of the left of the period for response is specified above, such period shall, by Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response 	nys, a response within the statutory minin default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. e mailing date of this communication .
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
☐ This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excacordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 		n as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)		
Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers		•
•		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	ving Review, PTO-948.	
	•	pproved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of 	is □ approved □ disa	pproved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved □ disalected to by the Examiner.	pproved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of 	is □ approved □ disalected to by the Examiner.	pproved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 	is □ approved □ disalected to by the Examiner.	pproved.
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disagrected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been	ən
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are of □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the	is approved disagrected to by the Examiner. r under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been been according to the priority documents have been been been been been been been be	en 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disagrected to by the Examiner. r under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been been according to the priority documents have been been been been been been been be	en 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu □ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	is approved disagrected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been been been disagreed.	en 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disagrected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been been been disagreed.	en 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disagrected to by the Examiner. r under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been been been been been been been be	on 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1754

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 2-6, drawn to a method comprising reacting a hydride ion with a proton, classified in class 423, subclass 648.1.
- II. Claims 7-10, drawn to a method comprising thermally decomposing a compound of a hydride ion, classified in class 423, subclass 648.1.
- III. Claim 11, drawn to a fuel compound, classified in class 423, subclass 648.1.
- IV. Claims 12-16, drawn to a method for providing a hydride ion, classified in class 423, subclass 648.1.

Claim 1 is linking among the inventions of Groups I, II and IV and with the inventions of Group I, II or IV, in the event Group I, II or IV is elected.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions .

Inventions II and IV are related as process of making and process of using the product.

The use as claimed cannot be practiced with a materially different product. Since the product is not allowable, restriction is proper between said method of making and method of using. The product claim will be examined along with the elected invention (MPEP § 806.05(I)).

Application/Control Number: 09/009,837

Art Unit: 1754

Claim 11 is separate and distinct from claims 1-10 and 12-16, since claims 1-10 and 12-16 recite a hydride ion having a binding energy of about-0.65-eV. Which is excluded by the compounds recited in claim 11.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Wayne Langel at telephone number (703) 308-0248.

W. Langel:jp

August 12, 1999

Mamel Jargel
WAYNE LANGEL
PRIMARY EXAMINED

Page 3